


Single Cell Biology: A key research area

10 November 2009 | News



Single Cell Biology: A key research area

Development and commercialization of economical, easier-to-use single cell tools have enabled more researchers to explore this novel area



The ultimate biological unit lies within a single cell. Many biological disciplines aim to elucidate the causes of cellular differentiation at this level. The secret triggers that signal human maturation, regeneration and genetic diseases lie buried in a single cell that was originally part of the genetically cloned, multicellular organism. Despite careful work with sophisticated instrumentation available for the dissection of tissue samples, several studies suggest that pooled cell samples, thought to be homogeneous, often composed of cells with

Development and commercialization of economical, easier-to-use single cell tools have enabled more researchers to explore this novel area. These include means to isolate single cells, such as fluorescence activated cell sorting, laser capture microdissection, optical tweezers and atomic force microscopy. Once single cell samples were readily available, applications such as fluorescent in situ hybridization (FISH)² and single cell PCR³ could be used to identify the differences between populations of single cells. This has resulted in an explosion of single-cell biology.⁴⁻⁶

Adapting multicell/tissue techniques to single cell study often has limited utility because of technical shortcomings, with problems mostly related to sensitivity. Despite the raw potential for single cell genomic analysis, the field has been restricted to comparative analysis of relatively few genomic loci for large numbers of single-cell isolates. Techniques such as FISH or single-cell PCR can only be used to probe a small number of DNA sequences before the cell is destroyed. Likewise, the small sample size of a single cell has so far allowed limited investigation of gene expression, proteomic make up and the

Whole genome amplification (WGA), a non-specific amplification technique, offers means to overcome the above restrictions for single cell genomic analyses. There are three different strategies for WGA which have been

Linker adapter PCR was first described in 1989.⁹ In this method, the target DNA is digested with an appropriate restriction enzyme and then each end is ligated to an adapter. These known adapter sequences are used to uniformly amplify each of the many DNA fragments representing the original sample. The method relies on absolutely efficient ligation and unbiased amplification between the

Primer extension preamplification (PEP) PCR, in contrast, uses a set of random hexamers to prime template DNA.¹⁰ The subsequent thermal cycling conditions use very low (permissive) annealing temperatures and fifty or more cycles to create a series of fragments representing the original input DNA. Bias in the resulting PEP PCR product is due to nonuniformity of random hexamer annealing and extension-DNA section with infrequent or distant priming events

tend to be discriminated in this method.

These shortfalls were largely overcome with multiple strand displacement (MSD) amplification.¹¹ The MSD technique employs a unique and highly processive mesophilic DNA polymerase, phi29. The resulting product consists of long, 1,050 kb fragments, but good amplification and representation.

Finally, degenerative oligonucleotide primer (DOP) PCR, also described as arbitrary PCR, relies on a set of oligos with a random 3'-end and partially fixed 5'-sequence.¹² These primers are designed to anneal DNA sample. Once extended by a polymerase, these products are amplified using oligos by targeting their fixed sequences. Primer design is critical for this technique – the oligo must bind evenly throughout the DNA sequence but not bind to other oligonucleotides. This method has also been successfully applied to give representative samples.

Single cell analysis

Each of these techniques has been applied to the problem of amplifying the genetic material in a single cell, and has met with some success. PEP PCR was the first to be applied to single cell WGA, and was successfully applied in several subsequent applications.¹³⁻¹⁴ A variant of the DOP PCR, developed by Rubicon Genomics, was used to amplify single chromosomes,¹⁵ a feat very shortly followed by the use of a linker-adaptor PCR method to completely amplify a single chromosome.¹⁶ Finally, MSD with phi29 was used to amplify a series of single cells.¹⁷

WGA methods differ in two respects: the amount of bias in the product when using limited amounts of input template and the

quality requirements for the input template. The former issue, which in single cell applications manifests itself as apparent loss of information or allelic drop out (ADO), is thought to be due to inequities of local distribution of the reagents near the target.¹⁸ The latter phenomenon is dependent on the method, and the fact that damaged DNA can make certain loci unamplifiable.

WGA methods that generate long amplicons like MSD, can be less robust because priming events are necessarily few and therefore any error in a long amplicon causes a relatively large loss of information. WGA that generates short amplicons such as P E P PCR, linker-adaptor amplification and DOP PCR lose less information in such circumstances.

Both DOP PCR and MSD amplification are now available in commercial molecular biology kits, some of which have been developed specifically for single cell applications. At the heart of this product line is a PCR-based WGA method that employs degenerate oligonucleotides coupled with universal adaptors in a combination of P E P and DOP amplification methods.

One commercially available single cell WGA kit can produce a million-fold amplification of a flow-sorted or laser microcaptured single cell resulting in approximately 5µg of final yield.²⁹

Advances in single cell WGA will allow researchers to uncover the contribution of genomics to single cell biology. Specifically, cancer and drug discovery research within genomics shows the greatest potential. Chromosomal aberrations, as a result of cancer, could be better cataloged when comparing a single cancerous cell to its normal counterpart. In addition, comparing single cell from the 'treated' population to the 'untreated' to evaluate genomic effects can be used to screen drug candidates.

Understanding differences at the level of a single cell is the ultimate goal of biology. New, commercialized techniques, such as single cell WGA, are opening a new frontier for further study. Considerable work has already been accomplished towards the sensitive, unbiased amplification of single cell RNA to allow single cell expression^{7, 22-27} and this area has already seen the development of commercialized kits to respond to this customer need. As researchers continue to find sensitive means to explore epigenetics, proteomics,^{18,19} metabolomics and cell signaling,^{20,21} the whole world of single cell biology will be revealed.

References:

- 1 Reyes F, et al., (1976) The heterogeneity of erythrocyte antigen distribution in human normal phenotypes: an immunoelectron microscopy study, *Br J Haematol.*, 34(4), 61321
- 2 Cheung SW, et al., (1977) Gene mapping by fluorescent in situ hybridization, *Cell Biol Int Rep.*, 1(3), 25562
- 3 Mariani BD, et al., (1984) Gene amplification in a single cell cycle in Chinese hamster ovary cells, *J Biol Chem.*, 259(3), 190110
- 4 Lange, BM, (2005) Single cell genomics, *Curr Opin Plant Biol.*, 8(3), 23641
- 5 Liu WT, et al., (2005) Environmental microbiology on a chip and its future impacts, *Trends Biotechnol.*, 23(4), 1749
- 6 Diks SH, et al., (2004) Single cell proteomics for personalized medicine. *Trends Mol Med.*, 10(12), 5747
- 7 Subkhankulova T, et al., (2006) Comparative evaluation of linear and exponential amplification techniques for expression profiling at the single cell level. *Genome Biol.*, 7(3), R18
- 8 Paul P, et al., (2005) Single molecule dilution and multiple displacement amplification for molecular haplotyping, *Biotechniques*, 38(4), 5539
- 9 Ludecke HJ, et al., (1989) Cloning defined regions of the human genome by microdissection of banded chromosomes and enzymatic amplification. *Nature*, 338(6213), 34850
- 10 Zhang L, et al., (1992) Whole genome amplification from a single cell: implications for genetic analysis. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA*, 89(13), 584751
- 11 Dean FB, et al., (2001) Rapid amplification of plasmid and phage DNA using Phi 29 DNA polymerase and multiply primed rolling circle amplification. *Genome Res.*, 11(6), 10959
- 12 Telenius H, et al., (1992) Degenerate oligonucleotide primed PCR: general amplification of target DNA by a single degenerate primer. *Genomics*, 13(3), 71825
- 13 Barrett MT, et al., (1995) Genotypic analysis of multiple loci in somatic cells by whole genome amplification, *Nucleic Acids Res.*, 23(17), 3488â€“3492
- 14 Snabes MC, et al., (1995) Preimplantation single cell analysis of multiple genetic loci by whole genome amplification. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA*, 91(13), 61815
- 15 Gribble S, et al., (2004) Chromosome paints from single copies of chromosomes, *Chromosome Res.* 12(2), 14351
- 16 Thalhammer S, et al., (2004) Generation of chromosome painting probes from single chromosomes by laser microdissection and linker adaptor PCR, *Chromosome Res.* 12(4), 33743
- 17 Hellani A, et al., (2004) Multiple displacement amplification on single cell and possible PGD applications. *Mol Hum Reprod.*, 10(11), 84752
- 18 Irish JM, et al., (2006) Mapping normal and cancer cell signaling networks: towards single cell proteomics. *Nat Rev Cancer*, 6(2), 14655
- 19 Hellmich W, et al., (2005) Single cell manipulation, analytics, and label-free protein detection in microfluidic devices for systems nanobiology. *Electrophoresis*, 26(19), 368996
- 20 Irish JM, et al., (2004) Single cell profiling of potentiated phosphoprotein networks in cancer cells. *Cell*, 118(2), 21728
- 21 Krutzik PO, et al., (2003) Intracellular phosphoprotein staining techniques for flow cytometry: monitoring single cell signaling events. *Cytometry A.*, 55(2), 6170

- 22 Ginsberg SD, (2005) RNA amplification strategies for small sample populations. *Methods*, 37(3), 22937
- 23 Kurimoto K, et al., (2006) An improved single cell cDNA amplification method for efficient high-density oligonucleotide microarray analysis. *Nucleic Acids Res.*, 34(5), e42
- 24 Van Gelder RN, et al., (1990) Amplified RNA Synthesized from Limited Quantities of Heterogeneous cDNA. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA*, 87,16631667
- 25 Dixon AK, et al., (2000) GeneExpression Analysis at the Single Cell Level. *Trends Pharmacol Sci*, 21, 6570
- 26 Ginsberg SD, et al., (2004) Single Cell Gene Expression Analysis: Implications for Neurodegenerative and Neuropsychiatric Disorders. *Neurochem Res*, 29,105364
- 27 Levsky JM, et al., (2002) Single Cell Gene Expression Profiling. *Science*, 297,83640
- 28 Findlay I, et al., (1995) Allelic dropout and preferential amplification in single cells and human blastomeres: implications for preimplantation diagnosis of sex and cystic fibrosis. *Hum Reprod.*, 10(6),160918
- 29 Brueck, C. Brown, S. Michalik, D. Vassar-Nieto, E. Mueller and G. Davis. Single-Cell Whole-Genome Amplification. 2007. CSHL Press. Genetic Variation: A Laboratory Manual: 136-139.